UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,735	12/30/2003	Raul Salvi	SC12575TS/46-049	7542
LAW OFFICE OF CHARLES W. BETHARDS, LLP P.O. BOX 1622			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, PABLO N	
COLLEYVILLE, TX 76034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,735	SALVI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pablo N. Tran	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply book will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION.  e timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 7-10 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 3-4, 6, 11-12, 15, and 17-19 is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,5,13,14 and 16 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration. re rejected d/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei     a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:     1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume     2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume     3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume     application from the International Bure     * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/748,735 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 6, 11-12, 15, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manku et al. (hereinafter "Manku", US Pat No 6,973,297) and in view of Plymale, SR. et al. (hereinafter "Plymale", US Pat. No. 2004/0080441).

As per claim 1, Manku disclosed a system for use in a portable communications device comprising a digital signal processor (fig. 6) for processing a digital source input and providing a digital processed bit stream; a digital-to-analog converter (fig. 6/no. 150, 168) for converting the digital processed bit stream and providing at least one analog signal; and a power management controller (fig. 5/no. 118) within the DSP for interpreting a plurality of control parameters

Manku disclose such voltage/current requirements of the converters based upon the analog signals but not explicitly adjusting a bias current used by the DAC. However, Plymate disclose such teaching as claimed (0023-0025, 0029-0033). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention for

Manku to utilize such teaching in order to improve the dynamic range and linearity of the converters.

As per claim 3, the modified system of Manku and Plymale further disclosed at least one DAC filter (see Manku, fig. 6/no. 140, 146, 160, and 164, see Plymate, fig. 3/no. 315).

As per claim 4, the modified system of Manku and Plymale further disclosed a mixer (see Manku, fig. 6/no. M1I, M1Q, M2I, M2Q, see Plymate fig. 3/no. 317) for providing an intermediate frequency (IF) signal from the at least one analog signal; and a power amplifier for amplifying the IF signal from the mixer (see Manku, col. 2/ln. 2-9, see Plymate, 0021).

As per claim 6, the modified system of Manku and Plymale further disclosed the IF signal operates at radio frequency (see Manku, col. 2/ln. 2-9, see Plymate 0023-0025, 0029-0033).

As per claims 11 and 17, the modified system of Manku and Plymale further disclosed a method for managing power to a communications system having at least one digital-to-analog converter (fig. 6) comprising the steps of initializing the components used within a portable communications system; receiving an incoming digital input stream at a digital signal processor (fig. 6); determining the digital multiple access protocol (MA) used in the digital input stream (col. 12/ln. 17-23); generating a processed digital signal from the DSP (fig. 6); converting the processed digital signal to an analog signal using a DAC (fig. 6); and controlling a voltage supply used by the

DAC based upon the MA, noise requirements and intermodulation requirements of the portable communications system.

Manku disclose such voltage/current supply requirements of the converters based upon the MA, noise requirements and intermodulation requirements of the portable communications system but not explicitly a bias current used by the DAC. However, Plymate disclose such teaching as claimed (0023-0025, 0029-0033). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention for Manku to utilize such teaching in order to improve the dynamic range and linearity of the converters.

As per claim 12, the modified system of Manku and Plymale further disclosed disclose the supply bias is controlled by a power management controller in the DSP (see Manku, fig. 5/n. 118).

As per claim 15, the modified system of Manku and Plymale further disclosed the DAC is in an audio processing system (see Manku, col. 1/ln. 19).

As per claim 18, the modified system of Manku and Plymale further disclosed wherein one or more control parameter maybe independently controlled (see Manku, fig. 6, see Plymale, 0023-0025, 0and 029-0033).

As per claim 19, the modified system of Manku and Plymale further disclosed controls supply bias to an audio processing system (see Manku, col. 1/ln. 9).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,735 Page 5

Art Unit: 2618

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2, 5, and 13-14, and 16 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claims 7-10 are allowed.

## Conclusion

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (571)272-7898. The examiner normal hours are 9:30 -5:00 (Monday-Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (571)272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.
- 6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) System. Status information for Published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-directauspto.gov. Should You have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/748,735 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (in USA or CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

February 16, 2009

/Pablo N Tran/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618